

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/877,092	06/11/2001	Takashi Arai	862.C2261	1304
5514	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER &	BLOUIN,	BLOUIN, MARK S	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Examiner Mark Blouin		· ·	Annii adian Na	Applicant(s)			
Examiner Mark Blouin Art Unit 2653 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estantians of uniting with a strength of the correspondence address Estantians of uniting with suitable winder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to perly whithin the set and thinky (20) days, are reply within the statutory minimum of thinky (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to perly whithin the set or extended period for reply by the priod of reply by the Office later than thice months after the mailing date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any source patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2005. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22.36,40,41.54,855 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection		:	Application No.				
Mark Blouin 2653 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum shadure, and will supply and vilil expires SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum shadure, producing the specification to be maximum shadure, and specification to be made of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum shadure, producing cause the application to be communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum shadure, and specified to be became abANDOEAU SL S. § 133). Any reply received by the Office list than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22, 36, 40, 41, 54, 8.55 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Siarre objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. Siarre objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37			09/877,092	ARAI, TAKASHI			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication and the communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search period for reply vill, by statutory and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search period for reply vill, by statutory and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search period for reply vill, by statutory and vill apply and search period for reply vill, by statutory and vill apply and search period for reply vill, by statutory and vill apply and search period for reply vill, by statutory and vill apply and search period for reply v		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of lime may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed to the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3X(b) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3X(b) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or adended period for reply will, by statuto, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22.36.40.41.54.855 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		:	1	T			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensines of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CRR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sepedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If INO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepedified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, excess the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three menths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22,36,40,41,54,855 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t			pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
1)	A SH THE - Exter after - If the - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprovement of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22,36,40,41,54,&55 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	Status						
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22,36,40,41,54,&55 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 L	<u>December 2005</u> .				
Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22,36,40,41,54,&55 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.				
4)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22,36,40,41,54,&55 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	Dispositi	ion of Claims		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22,36,40,41,54,&55 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	4)	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application	n.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 42-49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	-			ation.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>42-49 and 51-53</u> is/are rejected.		•			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		·		·			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 	Applicati	ion Papers					
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Delocity under 25 H.S.C. \$ 440	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 0.5.0. 9 115	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•	•	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	-		phony under so ereret g	, (-, ()-			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	۵,		nts have been received.	·			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		•		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage:		3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage:			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
		•					
Attachment(s)		4	. .	· (DTO 442) :			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/05 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal i				

Art Unit: 2653

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

• The reply filed on December 15, 2006 was applied to the following effect: Claims 23-35, 37-39, and 50 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. All relevant rejections have been withdrawn as being satisfied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 42-46,48,49, and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feist et al (US pub 2002/0080712 A1).
- 4. Regarding Claim 42, 44, 45, and 51, Feist et al shows [0041 and 0042] a housing structure for audio equipment or video equipment, characterized by comprising a structural member of the equipment body, means for generating an audio signal or video signal (inherent), a reception object (optical element) for receiving a signal, a resin molded product for audio equipment or video equipment, which is incorporated in a housing of the equipment for outputting an audio signal or video signal and is molded from a resin material for holding a

Art Unit: 2653

driving member in the equipment, characterized in that vibration damping function objects are contained in the resin molded product in the step of molding the resin molded product.

- Regarding Claim 43, Feist et al shows [0041 and 0042] the molded product characterized in that the vibration source object comprises a rotating member (inherent the vibration source object is spinning).
- Regarding Claim 46, Feist et al shows [0041 and 0042] the resin molded product characterized in that the vibration damping function objects comprise a gas (foamed structure) and a bubble diameter (structure of the foamed material) adjusted and contained in the resin molded product in the molding step.
- Regarding Claims 48 and 49, Feist et al shows [0007] a molded product made of resin material which holds a rotating member for receiving information from an information source and transferring the information to an information reception object and the reception object for receiving the information from the rotating member on a mount surface while maintaining an optical positional relationship between the rotating member and the reception object (inherent in an optical read write system), characterized that damping generated by the rotating member are contained in the molded product, characterized in that the information from the information source a signal based on laser light (15).
- Regarding Claims 52 and 53, Feist et al shows (Figs. 4-6) the molded product characterized in that a vibration damping factor between a position of the image transfer means and a position of the image reception means is adjusted to not less than 35 dB/sec, and characterized in that a flexural rigidity of the molded product is set to 4,500 to 9,800 MPa.

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feist et al (US pub 2002/0080712 A1) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.
- Regarding Claim 47, Feist et al shows all the features described, *supra*, but does not show that sizes of the cells in the molded product fall within a range of 10 to 100 µm.

Applicant shows (Page 4, line 13 of the Specification - 25 μ m) that sizes of the cells in the molded product fall within a range of 10 to 100 μ m.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the molded resin product of Feist et al with the Applicant's same size cells through routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed on December 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts on pages 14-15:

That the prior art fails to show the features of a "vibration source object" and "a reception object".

The Examiner maintains that (as the claims are written) the Applicant is claiming a molded resin product, which is clearly shown by Feist, and that the first and second means are

Art Unit: 2653

features that act upon the product and are not part of it. Most of the claim language is functional and not structural. The Examiner recommends that the claims may be more pertinent as method claims and not product claims. Therefore, the rejection of Claims 42-49 and 51-53 are upheld.

Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Blouin whose telephone number is 571-272-7583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00 to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch, can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2653

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Blouin
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2653

January 3, 2006

A. J. HEINZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP C. U. 2653